

# Property Income Trust for Charities

GRESB Real Estate Assessment 2020

SUBMITTED: 31 Jul 2020 10:10:39am Fri UTC

## 2020

GRESB Real Estate Assessment

### **Property Income Trust for Charities**

## Mayfair Capital Investment Management



66

Status: Non-listed Strategy: Core Location: United Kingdom Property type: Diversified - Office/Industrial United Kingdom | Diversified Office/Industrial | Core
out of 8



GRESB Standing Investment Benchmark Report

#### Rankings



GRESB Score within Diversified - Office/Industrial / Europe

out of 26



GRESB Score within Diversified -Office/Industrial / Non-listed / Core

out of 24



GRESB Score within Europe / Nonlisted / Core / Open end

out of 286

233<sup>rd</sup>

Management Score within Europe

out of 605



Management Score within Europe / Non-listed / Core

out of 400



Management Score within Europe / Non-listed / Core / Open end

out of 288

19<sup>th</sup>

Performance Score within Diversified - Office/Industrial / Europe

out of 26



Performance Score within Diversified -Office/Industrial / Non-listed / Core

out of 24



Performance Score within Europe / Non-listed / Core / Open end

out of 286

#### **GRESB Model**

The 2020 GRESB Assessment structure fundamentally changed, establishing a new baseline for measuring Performance. This affects the comparability of benchmark scores with previous years. Please refer to the <u>Results Communication to Stakeholders</u> for guidance on interpreting the 2020 results.



#### ESG Breakdown







#### **Trend**



#### Theoretical score

Methodology: this is an estimate that does not take into account changes to validation requirements or indicator specific scoring methodology.

The 2020 GRESB Assessment structure fundamentally changed, establishing a new baseline for measuring Performance. The split between Management and Performance components brings a stronger focus on consistent data collection and reporting.

GRESB advises against a direct comparison between 2020 GRESB Scores and prior year results. Changes to the 2020 Assessment produced inconsistent impacts regardless of geographic region, property type or investment strategy. Most participants experienced score shifts ranging +/- 4 points. A small number of participants experienced greater point swings, typically driven by shifts in portfolio structure rather than performance issues. Compounding the structural changes, Covid-19 negatively impacted data collection efforts across the industry, with disproportionate impact on certain regions and property types. Objective comparison between years is therefore not possible.

GRESB calculated a 2020 Theoretical Score to support the interpretation of this year's results, providing insight into the impact of the Assessment restructuring on a participant's results. This score provides an "estimate" based on the Indicator and Aspect weights compared to past year's. This year-on-year context will only be provided in 2020 GRESB Benchmark Reports. The goal of the Theoretical Score is to demonstrate the movement due to structural changes between the 2020 Benchmark and the 2019 Benchmark. It is not directly comparable with the 2019 GRESB Score and should only be used for directional guidance. This 2020 Theoretical Score calculation does not take into account 1) indicators removed from the 2020 GRESB Real Estate Assessment; 2) changes in score weightings of elements within an indicator (e.g. Data coverage weight within Energy consumption score), 3) changes in portfolio asset count or structure; 4) benchmarking at a more granular level within property types; or 5) updates to validation requirements.

## Aspects, Strengths & Opportunities



#### MANAGEMENT COMPONENT

Benchmark group: Europe | Core (400 entities)

Aspect Number of points	Weight in Component	Weight in GRESB Score	Points Obtained	Benchmark Average	Benc	hmark Dist	ribution	
$\underline{\underline{\alpha}}$ <b>Leadership</b> $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}$ 7 points	23.33%	7%	7	6.34	248	50	75	100%
Policies 4.5 points	15%	4.5%	4.5	4.3	326	50	75	100%
Reporting 3.5 points	11.67%	3.5%	3.5	3.09	302	50	75	100%
Risk Management 5 points	16.67%	5%	4.67	4.38	164	50	□- 75	100%
Stakeholder Engagement 10 points	33.33%	10%	9.03	8.93	206	50	75	100%

#### PERFORMANCE COMPONENT

 $Benchmark\ group:\ United\ Kingdom\ |\ Diversified\ -\ Office/Industrial\ |\ Core\ (8\ entities)$ 

Aspect Number of points	Weight in Component	Weight in GRESB Score	Points Obtained	Benchmark Average	Benchmark Distribution		
Risk Assessment 9 points	12.86%	9%	5.37	6.68	3 25 50 75 100%		
Targets 2 points	2.86%	2%	1.78	1.44	0 25 50 75 100%		
Tenants & Community 11 points	15.71%	11%	8.25	8.57	2 0 25 50 75 100%		

Aspect Number of points	Weight in Component	Weight in GRESB Score	Points Obtained	Benchmark Average	Benchmark Distribution		
Energy 14 points	20%	14%	6.82	7.27	2 0 25 50 75 100%		
GHG 7 points	10%	7%	3.74	3.59	2 0 25 50 75 100%		
Water 7 points	10%	7%	2.33	2.21	3 0 25 50 75 100%		
Waste 4 points	5.71%	4%	2.08	1.36	2 0 25 50 75 100%		
Data Monitoring & Review 5.5 points	7.86%	5.5%	1.42	3.32	2 0 25 50 75 100%		
Building Certifications 10.5 points	15%	10.5%	5.03	4.6	2 0 25 50 75 105%		